		1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA	
2	STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION	
3	************	
4	In re:	
5	PRESENTATION OF PREMIUM RATES	
6	IN CONNECTION WITH HEALTH	
7	INSURANCE COVERAGE CASE NO. INS-2017-00050	
8	ISSUED IN THE INDIVIDUAL	
9	AND SMALL GROUP MARKETS.	
10	************	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE	
12	THE HONORABLE MARK C. CHRISTIE	
13	THE HONORABLE JAMES C. DIMITRI	
14	THE HONORABLE JUDITH WILLIAMS JAGDMANN	
15	July 25, 2017	
16	9:30 a.m 11:58 a.m.	
17	Richmond, Virginia	
18		
19		
20	REPORTED BY: CAROL M. TAYLOE, RMR, CMRS, CCR	
21		
22	TAYLOE COURT REPORTING LLC	
23	Registered Professional Reporters	
24	Telephone: (757) 461-1984	
25	Norfolk, Virginia	

THE CLERK: Today's docket consists of

Case Number INS-2017-00050, in the matter of

presentations of premium rates in connection with

health insurance coverage issued in the individual and

small group markets. The Honorable Judge Mark C.

Christie presiding.

2.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, good morning, everybody. We are here today for the rate presentations -- we do this annually -- rate presentations on insurance plans to be offered in the individual and small group insurance markets as of January 1st of next year.

As you know, under Virginia law the Commission is required to review and approve the premium rates and forms for all such health benefit plans whether or not they are sold on the exchange or off. The Commission also must perform planned management functions required to certify plans for participation in the federal health benefit exchange pursuant to Virginia Code Section 38.2-326.

There are also some key legal deadlines that are in effect here and that govern what we are doing. First the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services requires that the Commission's Bureau of Insurance complete its review and recommendation of

plans and their rates for certification on the federal exchange no later than August 16 of 2017 for this year. August 16.

2.

Second, Virginia law requires insurance carriers to notify their customers of increases in annual premiums or deductibles at least 75 days before the proposed renewal of their health insurance, and that deadline for notifying customers, which of course insurance companies have to meet, this year is October 18th.

To meet these deadlines to comply with these laws insurance companies recently filed their rates and forms for insurance plans proposed to be offered for use as of January 1, 2018.

Given the importance of the cost of health insurance to Virginia's small businesses and individuals, many of whom are self-employed and paying these costs directly out of pocket, the Commission is closely reviewing these health insurance premium rates and increases in deductibles prior to any ultimate approval for use in Virginia.

As part of the evaluation of these filings, on May 4 of 2017 the Commission issued an order directing presentations. Today's presentations are designed to serve as an overview of the range of

rate impact or change for plans in the individual and small group markets.

2.

The Commission's Bureau of Insurance was directed to detail for each company the scenarios that should be covered through the presentations. The Bureau has done this and will participate today by providing background information and presenting a summary of recent Bureau activities in relation to its view of the latest rate and form filings for health insurance plans.

Now, today we'll hear first from Jackie
Cunningham, who's the Commissioner of insurance and
head of Bureau of Insurance. And Jackie will provide
introductory remarks and identify the companies
presenting today.

Next we will hear from the Bureau's health actuary, David Shea, who will then provide an overview of what the Bureau has been doing to review the recent filings. Afterwards the insurance companies will provide individual presentations about their proposed rate changes. For each company that is presenting here today please be prepared to speak to your proposed rate filings for plans both on and off the federal exchange and for plans in the individual and small group markets.

Let me note that today's proceeding is open to the public. And I want to also note that it is being webcast. So for those of you who are going to be speaking, when you speak please speak into a nearby microphone and speak clearly.

2.

Members of the public who wish to provide comments on one or more specific rate or form filings may do so in writing. You can go to the Bureau of Insurance website, and we've also prepared today some instructions on how to submit those comments, and those instructions are available in hard copy at the back of the courtroom.

We would like the Bureau and Company representatives to use the podium when you make your presentations so please come forward. Again, I encourage people to make sure the microphone is on because this is being webcast and so what you say will be -- to make sure what you say is clear to the people who may be listening via the Internet.

You're encouraged to use the audio visual equipment to display any charts or other materials you may be discussing. While we may have questions for the speakers, this is not an evidentiary hearing where there will be swearing in and cross-examination.

Are there any other preliminary matters

we need to discuss? Hearing none, then I do have a order of presentation which we'll follow, and in that order of presentation the companies will go in alphabetical order.

2.

And when you come to speak, please give your name and address for the court reporter so that she can record who is making these presentations by name.

With that we will begin with the Commissioner of Insurance head of the Bureau, Jackie Cunningham.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Good morning,
Commissioners. Good morning, everyone. Thank you all
for coming. I'm going to be very brief because
we've -- this is either the fifth -- fifth year that
we've done these presentations and the format is
virtually the same as in the past. So I just wanted
to thank everybody, thank my staff, thank the
companies for meeting some rather challenging
deadlines this year. And I will kind of give you an
overview of the companies that will be presenting
today.

We will hear from the Aetna Group, which includes Aetna Health, Incorporated, Aetna Life Insurance Company, Innovation Health Insurance

- 1 Company, and Innovation Health Plan. We will hear 2. from the Anthem Group, which is Anthem Health Plans of Virginia and Healthkeepers, Inc. We will hear from 3 the CareFirst Group, which includes CareFirst 4 5 BlueChoice, Inc., and Group Hospitalization and 6 Medical Services, Incorporated. We will hear from Cigna Health Group, 7 which includes Cigna Health and Life Insurance 8 Company. We will hear from the Kaiser Foundation 9 10 Group, which includes the Kaiser Foundation Health 11 Plan of the Mid-Atlantic States. We will hear from 12 Piedmont Community Health Group, which includes 13 Piedmont Community Healthcare HMO, Incorporated. 14 And finally -- no, actually not finally. 15 We will hear from Sentara Health Management Group, 16 which includes Optima Health Insurance Company and 17 Optima Health Plan. And finally we will hear from 18 United Health Group, which includes Optimum Choice, UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, UnitedHealthcare 19 20 of the Mid-Atlantic, Incorporated, and 21 UnitedHealthcare Plan of the River Valley, 22 Incorporated. 23 So with that I know we have a full agenda
 - So with that I know we have a full agendate so I won't take any more of your time. And with the Court's permission I guess I'll turn it over to David

24

25

Shea, who is our health actuary who will provide some details of the format of the presentations and the information that was presented and requested in connection with these presentations.

2.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, before you leave we need to do one thing. In case anyone out there didn't know, Jackie is retiring. This is Jackie's -- she's not leaving tomorrow but this will be her last health insurance rate presentation. And, as she mentioned, she's been doing this for the whole five years of the ACA. And before that Jackie, as many of you know, was the deputy for life and health. So she's been involved in health insurance regulation for many, many years, and we just want to thank you again, Jackie, for all the wonderful work you've done over the many years in the health insurance area.

So we'll see you again, but these people -- not in a public forum like this but we want to thank you at this opportunity.

MS. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Judge.

MR. SHEA: Good morning, Judges and everyone. David Shea, health actuary for the Bureau of Insurance. As we had agreed earlier this year, I'm going to severely limit my comments from the past as we want to give the companies an opportunity to talk

about their rates for 2018, which is the reason for our presentations today.

2.

So I've just got a very few brief slides to go over. Just as a recap, the filing deadline for 2018 ACA rates in Virginia was May the 3rd, and everybody reached that date or earlier. As you had mentioned, Judge Christie, the rate approval deadline for QHPs is August the 16th. Now, what that means is that's the deadline for the Bureau of Insurance to submit all of the data and information to CMS and our QHP recommendation. So basically that day is the last day anything can possibly change. In fact, I would say nothing can change on that date, unless something catastrophic happens. And then there's a much later rate approval deadline for non QHPs and that's August the 6th. October 6th. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: When you say non qualified health plans, does that mean off exchange?

MR. SHEA: Off exchange, yes, it does.

And as we do each year we're just going to go through a brief list of what are going to be the main rate drivers that have caused increases or changes in ACA rates for 2008. And, again, you'll hear these from the companies in a little bit more detail.

There's generally always medical trend;

the use of services and cost of services. Companies have had two or three years of experience in ACA so now what they're looking at is did the experience that came in, how closely did that match their projections for that period of time; the morbidity of their population, in other words, the relative health of their population, compared to all other carriers' populations in a state and a market.

2.

There was a change for 2018 in what's called the federal default age curve. All rates have the same age factors applied to them. There were no changes in the adult factors by age, but what they did in the past there was one factor for a child, zero to 19 or zero to 20. I think it's 19. And what the federal government did was they expanded the child factors. Instead of one factor for all children they expanded it into seven. Now, the net result of those changes means that child rates alone will increase anywhere from 20-and-a-half to over 50 percent just from these factor changes. And the companies will explain how they worked those into the 2018 rates.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: If you could explain that to me a little bit.

MR. SHEA: Sure.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Is it by age, is it by health of the child?

2.

MR. SHEA: It is absolutely not by health of the child because recognizing health in rates is not allowed. So what they've done is in the past there was one rate for all children ages zero to 19. And I'm doing this from memory so forgive me if I'm wrong, but I believe the factor for a child was on the order of .65. So you would take a rate for a 21-year-old, multiply it by .65, and get a rate for a child. Now, that covers the expected cost of the child anywhere age 0 to age 19. And it was generally recognized that that probably wasn't appropriate, that the factors -- the cost for children in those age ranges is a bit higher than 35 percent lower than a 21-year-old.

So what they did was they expanded the ranges. And I forget the exact ranges but they went from one child factor to seven. So, for example, I think the first range may be children ages 0 to 2, and then 3 to 5, and so forth and so on, up to age 19.

And what they did was from that .65 number every factor for the new child ages went up. They raised them all, which is -- the result is anywhere from a 20 to a 50 percent increase in those factors alone.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: The children's rates now are above a 21-year-old.

2.

MR. SHEA: They're not above. They did not want that to happen. So if I recall correctly, the highest child factor is now .97. So instead of being 35 percent below a 21-year old, it's three percent below a 21-year-old. So it's never higher, but they raised them all. And, again, the companies will explain how they work those into their rates.

Also -- and this is a relatively small number in the scheme of the health insurance. There was a health insurance tax that was taken away last year. Insurance companies didn't have to factor that into their premiums for 2017, but the moratorium on that tax was lifted. So they had to put it back in 2018. That can result anywhere from a one to three percent increase in premiums. Likewise last year it was a one to three percent decrease.

And this is kind of technical and wonky but the actuarial value calculator that every carrier has to use to figure out whether the plan is a platinum or a gold or a silver, the ranges were expanded to facilitate changes in the calculator from one year to the next that resulted in plans falling out of a medal level just because of some technical

1 changes. So they're giving insurance companies a 2. little bit more leeway in those values. We're probably not going to get into that today. That's, 3 again, kind of just a side note. 4 5 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me ask you, 6 David, before you leave that I'd just like clarification because every year we hear all about 7 morbidity and trend as far as rate drivers. 8 9 you've discussed it and insurance companies discussed 10 it. Now, morbidity, as I understand it, and correct 11 me if I'm wrong, is a composition of the insured pool 12 in terms of health and age, correct? 13 MR. SHEA: That is correct. 14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So it's like the 15 data regarding the status of the pool. 16 MR. SHEA: And it's generally in this 17 instance because every carrier can rate by age. 18 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right. 19 MR. SHEA: If your population gets older 20 or younger, the rates automatically account for that. 21 But as your population gets healthier or less healthy, 22 you've got to figure out how that's going to work into 23 your rates and that's -- risk adjustment is the 24 mechanism that they use to try to adjust for that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER:

25

Right. And then

trend, then, is the actual usage of healthcare services, correct?

2.

MR. SHEA: Yes. It's the cost and usage of the healthcare services. As it changes, with everything else being equal, from one year to the next, it's the change in the cost and utilization of health care services.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: But in your scenarios that you've given them -- and you've done this every year -- you have two lines, one for morbidity and one for trend, each one showing the impact. But it seems like they are related, are they not? I mean if the morbidity is older, sicker, then the trend by logic is going to be -- usage is going to be a lot higher. So while you -- you know, format wise you have those as two separate items but it does look like they're inextricably linked.

MR. SHEA: And that's a really good point and that's a big challenge for health insurance companies and particularly actuaries, the actuaries in the room. It's vital that they be able to look at their data and try to discern which is just underlying normal trend and which is changes in morbidity because the changes in morbidity --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Drive the trend.

MR. SHEA: Yes. But they're going to -some money is going to exchange hands based on changes
in morbidity, so it's crucial that insurance companies
separate those two. Because the morbidity comes under
risk adjustment.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: That's what I was going to ask. So that's under your risk adjustment.

MR. SHEA: Bingo.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: And risk

adjustment --

2.

MR. SHEA: So they got to be able to separate those two.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: And if you're new to this, risk adjustment was designed to make sure that if one carrier just happened to get a sicker pool they weren't disadvantaged.

MR. SHEA: Exactly. Because their rates cannot reflect the health status of their population. It cannot. So they rely on risk adjustment. If they get a less healthy population they will be a net receiver of risk adjustment payments, and if they get a healthier population there will be a net payer. So, again, you're absolutely right. If you just look at the data and don't account for it, morbidity changes is going to be in your trend but it's vital that they

1 separate the two.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I wonder -
I'm sorry, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: I just want to ask you if there is a new treatment that's developed or a new medication that is expensive but people need that treatment, where is that reflected in the premium calculation?

MR. SHEA: That's generally going to be in medical trend. Now, keep in mind, particularly for the carriers who have to file ACA rates, they're basing their rates on data that will ultimately be two years old by the time these rates are in effect. So they're sitting back around 2016 and they've got to think about what new treatments and what new technologies and what new prescription drugs are going to be available in the future and how will that compare to the costs they have today.

So they've got to keep a realtime look at what's going on in the healthcare world and try to figure out how that's going to impact the cost that they see today. But those changes should be reflected in medical trend.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me also ask about reinsurance. Because each of the last several

years you've talked about the three Rs and of the big Rs was reinsurance. And last year you told us it was going to expire. Now, as I understood reinsurance, that was exactly to address morbidity and trend; that reinsurance was if a carrier had a higher than expected trend, medical expenditure, which, again, is tied to the worst morbidity than they expected, that reinsurance was to address that, that it was payments to the companies based on the worst trend or worst morbidity. Right?

2.

MR. SHEA: You can't really -- believe it or not, reinsurance addresses something separate from morbidity and trend. And, trust me, I feel the actuary's pain, because this world has made it incredibly challenging to try to parse all of these impacts out.

Reinsurance, the purpose of reinsurance was to cover the cost of high cost claimants. Now, those won't necessarily be people who are less healthy. It could be a premature infant, it could be a motorcycle accident. Very, very costly claims. And so in order to encourage companies to participate in these markets, part of the ACA included a reinsurance mechanism that said for the first three years we will try to insulate you from these high cost claimants.

Believe it or not, that's separate from normal trend because it's not normal. It makes your trends look really weird when they're in there. And it's separate from morbidity because you can have, for example, a person with diabetes who we know what their morbidity profile looks like but they won't necessarily be high cost claimants from one year to the next. I mean we're talking high cost. \$250,000, in that general range.

2.

So for the first three years of the program there was a reinsurance mechanism and they started out reimbursing a large portion of the claims and then the next year they reduced it and then the next year they reduced it and then the next year they reduced it again. It was in there three years. And last year, the rates for 2017, there was no reinsurance mechanism reflected. It was totally gone, and this is the second year of that.

And, again, there's no reinsurance in here.

We've kind of talked about population morbidity as far as pricing challenges go. This year in particular there seems to be a considerable amount of regulatory uncertainty. I know we've all seen the news and heard all of the stuff going on, but one item that's of particular interest and concern to insurance carriers are cost-sharing reductions, for people who

qualify for cost-sharing reductions. Part of the ACA says that insurance companies will be reimbursed for those cost-sharing reductions. I'll give you a simple example.

2.

According to the law, a company sells for, let's say, a silver plan with a \$1,000 deductible. Another part of the law says you've got to lower those cost-sharing amounts for people who qualify by income. So there could be a person that qualifies by income that can purchase a \$1,000 deductible plan but what they get is \$100 deductible plan. They pay the rate for \$1,000, they get a \$100 deductible. So when they go and access the health care system, their card says, I have a \$100 deductible. Now the rate they've paid is for a \$1,000 deductible.

So the insurance company says, yes, you're right, you have a \$100 deductible. That's all you're responsible for. So because the claims don't match the premium that got paid, part of the ACA says the government will reimburse the carrier for the difference in the deductible. So the carrier gets another \$900 for that individual.

That \$900 is a cost-sharing reduction payment, and every carrier gets that. Currently --

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: So you have premium reductions and cost reductions.

2.

MR. SHEA: You have premium subsidies and you have cost-sharing reductions, yes. And currently the federal government is committing to making those payments on a month-by-month basis. I don't know which month they've currently committed to. It may be next month. I'm not sure. But -- and you can understand hopefully by that example how critical these payments are to an insurance company. They rely on that because that's how they process the claims but that's not the premium they're taking in.

So it's mandatory that they get these payments. And that's currently -- it's a little bit uncertain as to whether they'll come in or not.

COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: So are all of the rates in the plans for us, do they assume that CSRs are going to continue?

MR. SHEA: That's a great question, and the answer is yes. Currently in all of our filings carriers have assumed basically the status quo. And going back to that deadline of August 16th I mentioned, again, unless something catastrophic happens, August 16th is when everything gets submitted to CMS, and barring any unforeseen changes coming back

to us, our job technically is done and everything is chiseled in stone. So no changes to plans, no changes to premiums, nothing.

2.

Now, of course, that's -- our job is done. We still have to wait for the insurance companies to agree to sell QHPs for 2018. But our job will be done. So all the rates that will be sitting out there for Virginia assume that cost-sharing reduction payments will continue.

COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: So someone who is issued this silver plan that you talked about and is -- it has a \$1,000 deductible, the policy that they get is going to say, your deductible is \$100?

MR. SHEA: \$100. And that's all they'll be responsible for. But they're paying the premium for a \$1,000 deductible, which is why the insurance company gets reimbursed to match the claims to the premium. So that's kind of like a sort of Sword of Damocles, so to speak, hanging out there over the ACA for now.

On a much smaller scale but still important, special enrollment periods, there have been some work on the part of the federal government to try to tighten those up and to prevent anti-selection.

25 The enforcement of the individual mandate is a little

hazy right now. There seems to be word that it's not going to be enforced as strongly as it has been in the past, and that's another concern because, as you're aware, the individual mandate exists to try to get everybody into the single risk pool as much as possible. And if there is no mandate, then it will tend to fall apart.

My next few slides are just -- and we don't need to go over these in any type of detail.

Everybody's got them in front of them. For 2018 we didn't have any carriers enter the market. We did have a few exits, and you can see them there. Some folks still have non ACA compliant plans out there. And some folks have chosen to leave a market with their PPO entity but stay with their HMO entity. Here's a list of the individual market exits we've received for 2018. And there's a list of small group market exits.

In particular of note, when you see something like Healthkeepers on exchange only, the shop, the small group exchange, probably didn't fulfill its planned goals. And there never really was a lot of enrollment in there to begin with. And so in particular when you see something like Healthkeepers or Innovation Health Plan leaving the small group on

exchange market, we don't have any data really on on
versus off exchange but my suspicion for those is
there probably was not much enrollment at all. And
those carriers can still sell off exchange, which is
where the vast majority of small groups purchase their
policies.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: But you've got Healthkeepers on only. Are you saying it's on the exchange?

MR. SHEA: I'm sorry. The way that reads these are small group exits, and Healthkeepers exited the on exchange small group market.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: So I thought if you're on the exchange you have to be off also, correct?

MR. SHEA: Very true. Very true. So but these folks are -- a lot of these folks are still off exchange, which is where the vast majority of business is.

So unless there are any further questions for me, I will go ahead and start having our presenters come up. As it has been in the past, you can see up on the screen I've got the documents on the right-hand side of the screen going down in alphabetical order. Just a little bit of

- housekeeping. In order to make your documents show up
 on the screen fully just follow these little
 instructions right here. Okay? I have them written
- COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: You better be handy just in case.

down for everybody.

4

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 7 MR. SHEA: I am. I am. Here you go. 8 Number one -- I'll do it for Aetna. So, Amy, you're 9 lucky. Click on your Pdf. Okay?
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: They'd probably
 prefer you do it for all of them.
- MR. SHEA: I'll be jumping up and down.

 Click view. Full screen mode. And just navigate

 through your presentation. When you're done hit

 escape and close the file. Okay? I got it all

 written right here.
 - So, Amy, I hope you were paying attention. It's your turn. So I'd like to have Aetna come up for our first presentation.
 - MR. BUCCI: Good morning. My name is

 Mike Bucci. I'm with Aetna. The address you asked

 for, 509 Progress Drive, Linithicum, Maryland. I'm

 the executive director for Aetna's operation in the

 capitol market which we define as Virginia, D.C. and

 Maryland. Amy Ovuka is our head actuary. She will be

up here doing most of the heavy lifting. She was paying attention, David. Thank you for that.

2.

We're going to talk about our two entities that David referenced, Aetna and Innovation Health, which is our joint venture with the Nova health system in Virginia. I'll talk very briefly a little bit about Aetna's market presence, and then I will tee up a little bit of Amy's talk around the actuarial discussion, but the meat of our discussion will be led by Amy.

So Aetna is the second largest commercial payer in the state. About 700,000 Virginians have an Aetna ID in their purse or wallet. I'm very happy about that. We think that that success has been largely driven by partnerships with providers throughout the state. An example of that would be the Innovation Health joint venture. We're proud to be entering into new markets in Virginia. We recently were awarded a Medicaid contract, so that's another 30,000 Virginians that now are covered by Aetna by the Medicaid program. David did a great job of describing some of the complexity. In the market you saw --

clarify, Mr. Bucci. Aetna is -- as I understand it, you're here today simply for a small group off

exchange product, correct?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUCCI: That is correct. I was going to briefly reference the fact we are exiting individual. David spoke to a lot of the regulatory complexity that exists, and to the extent that I could add to that, he's dead on; that we looked at that environment. Some of the structural challenges that we see exist there. We've, as we've publicly disclosed, experienced some pretty significant financial losses in the individual markets across the country, and so we are exiting the individual market in Virginia both with Aetna and Innovation Health for 2018. But we will be continuing to offer ACA compliant small group plans to our customers and prospects throughout Virginia. COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: So historically

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: So historically you were experiencing losses in on exchange product?

MR. BUCCI: In the individual market,
that's correct. We, again, publicly disclosed we lost about \$700 million across the country 2014 through
2016 in the individual market. We reduced our individual footprint from 15 states to four, including Virginia, for 2017 but are still projecting a loss of about \$200 million in 2017. So it's been a challenging business environment for us.

In small group, as I said, we are going to continue in the marketplace. And I'll turn it to Amy to walk us through the process that we followed to submit the rates for Virginia.

2.

MS. OVUKA: Okay, David. Hopefully I got that right.

Good morning. I'm Amy Ovuka and my address is 6720 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, Maryland, 20817.

As Mike alluded to today, we are going to cover our small group filings and we have four legal entities to cover this morning.

This first page outlines the rate increase for our most popular plan, and you can see here the rate increase is 2.2 percent but that's broken into five different components. The first one is population morbidity. And in general we're not expecting to attract a very different population in 2018 than we did in 2017.

The next line item is medical trend, and that is 11.4 percent year over year.

The next item is the reinstatement of the health insurer fee, and that adds 3.2 percent as our consumers have to pay that fee in 2018 but they did not have to pay it in 2017.

1 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Is that for the 2. exchange, to run the exchanges? MS. OVUKA: Oh, the actual cost? 3 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Yeah. 4 Do you 5 know where the money goes? 6 MS. OVUKA: I do not know all of the details where the money goes. I'm sorry, I can't go 7 into all of these details. 8 9 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: It just goes away 10 from you. 11 MS. OVUKA: The next item is the revised 12 age curve, and as David spoke about the age factors 13 increase for children but they stayed the same for adults. However, it is a revenue net zero impact, and 14 here we're talking about rates for a 40-year-old. 15 16 rates for children will increase, but that -- since 17 it's revenue net zero rates for adults then decrease. 18 So for a 40-year-old the impact is a decrease of 2.9 19 percent. 20 That will vary carrier by carrier given 21 their underlying demographic distribution. 22 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Okay. So just to 23 sort of back up just a little, so I'm looking this is 24 for rating area seven which is --

MS. OVUKA: Richmond.

25

```
1
                  COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Richmond.
 2.
     tobacco smoker, it's a silver plan which -- healthier
    benefits.
                This is the plan where you would get
 3
     subsidies if you were on exchange, I guess.
 4
 5
                  MS. OVUKA: That be would in the
 6
     individual markets. This is small group.
 7
                  COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Right, this is
     small group and it's off exchange, but it's a
 8
    heathier -- I mean it's a --
9
                  MS. OVUKA: Middle of the road.
10
11
                  COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Middle of the
12
           I don't see the age, but you said it was for a
    road.
     40 --
13
14
                  MS. OVUKA: This is for a 40-year-old.
15
    It's really small at the bottom here.
16
                  COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Okay. Forty --
17
    oh, there it is. Okay. Thank you. Just trying to,
18
    where am I?
19
                  MS. OVUKA: That's fine.
20
                  And then the last bucket for the rate
21
    change is the other changes. This is kind of a
22
    catch-all bucket, but a few things I would highlight
23
    are claims experience coming in different than
24
    expected, benefits changes year over year, and also a
```

change in the risk transfer payment due to the risk

25

adjustment program. That was different than expected.

The next page outlines the scenarios for the minimum rate increase and the maximum rate increase. For this legal entity the minimum rate increase is negative 20.1 percent and the maximum rate increase is 34.2 percent. You can see all the buckets the percentage are the same for all lines except for other changes, and that's just due to different benefit changes year over year in the different scenarios.

And then lastly we have the change in the area factors year over year. We had a very small change in our area factors. For this legal entity the most significant one is that you can see last year the 1.0 rating area was essentially Virginia Beach rating area nine. This year it's rating area seven. So we're pretty much just shifting what is our 1.0 rate but has no overall net impact to the premiums.

We also outline our tobacco use factors and we use a 1.0 for both tobacco users and non tobacco users.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: So if you go back one page, I'm just sort of orienting myself, so I guess the one on the left, that is your average annual rate change and that's a -- it will be a silver plan

- 1 it looks like going forward.2 MS. OVUKA: That's correct.
- COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: With a \$6,000

 maximum out-of-pocket and a \$5,000 -- no, a \$5,000

 deductible and a 7,000.
- 6 MS. OVUKA: Correct.
- 7 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: 80 percent 8 co-insurance.
- 9 MS. OVUKA: Yes.
- 10 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: And then the one 11 with the least -- and this would be helpful if 12 everybody just kind of sort of hit the highlights of 13 that for us, too, because it's lot of information on 14 one page. The one, I guess, with the -- on the right 15 is the one with the maximum. That's going to be a 16 silver plan for '18 with 6,550 maximum out-of-pocket, 17 and with a \$5,000 deductible.
- MS. OVUKA: Those are the 2017 plans and then the description for the 2018 plans.
- 20 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Excuse me.
- 21 Right. So the \$7,000 out-of-pocket maximum and a 22 \$5,000 deductible. Okay.
- MS. OVUKA: Okay? The next legal entity
 we will review is our Aetna PPO plans. The rate -this scenario is going to be really similar to the

last legal entity that we reviewed. The average increase for our most popular plan is 2.8 percent.

Again, the same buckets, and actually even our other changes is very similar in this legal entity as well.

2.

As we move on to the scenarios of the minimum rate change and the maximum rate change, the minimum range change here is negative 33.26 and the maximum rate change is 35.1 percent. The one thing I'd point out on the left scenario here for the minimum rate change, this was an indemnity plan that is no longer going to be -- in 2017 that is no longer offered in 2018, which is why this other bucket is so large here.

As we move to area factors, these are the same as the last filing. So no changes there.

Next I'm going to move on to our

Innovation Health filings. And so these reflect our
partnership with the Nova Health Systems in Northern

Virginia. These plans are also only offered in

Northern Virginia. The rate increase for our most

popular plan is a gold plan and it's 15.1 percent.

Here we have the same drivers of the rate increase;

population morbidity, trend is 10.3 percent, health
insurer's fee is 3.2, the revised age curve is 3.4.

Again, slightly different number because it's a

1 different underlying demographic population in that 2. region. And then other changes is worth 2.4 percent. COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: And I quess -- we 3 haven't talked about this but I guess is this a 4 5 monthly rate we're talking about? 6 MS. OVUKA: It is a monthly per member, 7 per month, yes. As we move on to the minimum and maximum 8 rate scenarios, the minimum rate change is 1.1 9 10 percent. That's moving from a silver to a bronze plan 11 in 2018. And the maximum rate change is 33.2 percent. 12 Again, the other bucket varies because of different 13 benefit changes year over year. 14 For area factor changes we just kind 15 of -- they all -- all the areas this is offered in are 16 rating areas ten, 11 and 12 in Northern Virginia. 17 They all have the same area factor. We just rebased 18 our base rate. So no premium impact there. 19 The last filing we have to review is the 20 Innovation Health HMO plans. The rate increase for 21 our most popular plan is 15 percent. Again, the

magnitude of the drivers are very similar to the prior filing.

As we move to the minimum and maximum rate scenarios, the minimum rate change is negative

22

23

24

25

- 1 | 10.1 percent and the maximum rate change is 51.1
- 2 percent. That is moving from a bronze to a silver
- 3 plan.
- 4 And lastly the rating area factors, again
- 5 | moving all of our area factors to a 1.0 in Northern
- 6 Virginia.
- 7 Are there any further questions?
- 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. Thank you
- 9 very much.
- MS. OVUKA: Thank you.
- 11 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Thank you.
- 12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: The next carrier
- 13 is Anthem. We welcome back Mr. Connell. You've been
- 14 here several times.
- 15 MR. CONNELL: Yes. Good morning. Good
- 16 to see you again.
- 17 All right. Good morning. My name's Tim
- 18 | Connell. I'm a director and actuary with Anthem.
- 19 Address is 2221 Edward Holland Drive in Richmond,
- 20 | Virginia. I'm here to discuss Anthem rates for
- 21 individual and small group for 2018, including two
- 22 legal entities, Healthkeepers and Anthem Health Plans
- 23 of Virginia.
- 24 And I'll start with individual and give
- 25 you a little context as well. This has been such a

challenging segment for us. And David alluded a little bit to this in his opening remarks. Just trying to grasp what you're going to have in any given year has been quite a challenge. We see quite a bit of turnover from year to year, we get a different type of population every year, and we've really found that a challenge to understand who is, you know, who is staying, who is remaining with us, who is joining in a different year. It's just been a challenge with the stability and the turnover in the population that we have from year to year.

2.

I think we also mentioned carrier exits, too, makes it more of a challenge. And as we filed the rates this year we didn't understand but now we know that some carriers have exited and they're not going to be in the market in 2018.

So some other challenges have been that we've seen the market seemed to have peaked; that it's reached a -- it's seen some growth in '14 and '15 as a lot of non grandfathered business moved into the ACA market. '16 was a little bit stable, and we're seeing maybe that it's topped out and it might be starting to shrink now. And I think that market shrinkage is sort of disconcerting to us, that that's going to be, you know, sort of more morbidity changes along the lines

of what we saw last year.

2.

And I'll start here on slide one. I'll say that we picked our rating areas that had the highest enrollment and highest membership. In the individual market we used area nine, which is the Norfolk, Virginia Beach rating area. And as you can see, the high increase down there at the bottom is sort of the one that sticks out, but I'll try to go through some of the elements, and you saw a little bit on the last presentation about what these elements are and we've talked a little bit about it. I'll just draw your attention to a few of the items.

Morbidity, that's certainly been a concern for ours, and we noticed a pretty sharp increase in morbidity in the 2016 experience. I think this one caught us off guard. And if you looked at our past presentations we probably had a lower number in there on the morbidity line. But we're assuming that nine percent number, so just under nine percent. That's about the level of morbidity change that we have observed in the past. And I think as we talked about earlier, that's something we try to measure and bring out separately from just average trend.

So the underlying trend might be what's going on in the environment, but the morbidity is

something we try to look at using independent risk scores and other internal data to understand are we getting sicker people. We definitely saw that in 2016.

2.

So going through the lines again, the trend, that also is a little bit higher. We've seen some high experience in all of our -- really in a lot of our blocks. Group business as well. So that's a little bit higher than it's been. And, as we mentioned, the health insurer fee reinstatement coming back in, that's going to be a slight hit to the individual market. We'll see that again in small group.

I would characterize the other -- maybe

I'll step back before I get to the other change. The

revised age curve I think we discussed, too. We're

looking at a 40-year-old rate so those adults are

going to be a little bit less than average as far as

total block. With the new revised age curve we're

giving higher increases to the child ages. There's

not really a way around that, but I think the new

curve was designed, as David said, to reflect maybe a

little bit better the expenses that those of younger

ages have and also to be a little more gradual in

phasing up to the adult rate.

So there's a one-time bump that's going to happen at these ages, but then the previous rate curve had a very steep hit when the member hit 21. So instead of that steep hit, now it's going to be a more gradual one. I think from age like 15 to 21 will be going up. So I think it's probably a disruption in next year's rate, but in future years it should be less disruption as people age into the adult rate.

2.

As mentioned, this is lowering the adult rate a little bit. It's actually raising the child rates quite a bit, as we'll see on the next page.

And then the other changes, I would characterize this amount as being inadequate rates for '17. We're really seeing that the losses are -- you know, we've seen them in 2016 and we think they're continuing in '17. And, you know, we need to kind of make some correction for that.

Any questions so far?

Here's our page with the average. Again, you see the adult rates a little bit lower than the overall average and those child rates are going to be a little higher. So those are highlighted there at the top.

Here we have a minimum and maximum plan, and I'll speak to the -- the minimum plan is fairly

close to what our most popular was on the prior page. So I'll speak a little bit to the gold plan that's getting a little higher increase.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's fewer members. You can see it's not too many members in that plan as of today. But we are seeing even more adverse experience in the gold plans than we see in the other medals. And what we do in trying to evaluate that is, as we've mentioned, we're not rating on the health status but we're looking at it post risk adjustment. We have some internal rating tools that allow us to look at that, and so even though this block of gold members might receive, say, over \$100 per member per month in risk adjustment receivables -- and that's just an illustrative number. I don't have the exact number, but it's a pretty large number. But even after receiving that, the experience is in this block is poor enough that it needs even more of an increase than the other medals, and that's what we're seeing in this gold plan.

There are some slight modifications to the benefit plans. I don't think I went over that in the last slide, but if you have any questions on that I can pause for that, too.

We tend to keep the actuarial value at a similar level to what it was in the prior year.

Any questions?

1

2 COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: Let me just ask you in the individual plan when you talk about 3 children, is this the situation where a family 4 purchases an individual policy for the child alone? 5 6 MR. CONNELL: These wouldn't be 7 child-only policies. These would be usually a family contract with the adult and child. 8 9 COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: So how many would 10 there be -- for example, when you say you have 2400 11 members in the gold plan here, how many would be 12 children? 13 MR. CONNELL: I don't have that number 14 with me. I think we did see a little more -- the 15 weighting of percentage of children was a little bit 16 higher in the gold plans, and as a result the gold 17 plan we're giving on average was a little bit higher 18 than what we would have gotten from just the average 19 gold. But I don't have the exact numbers. I would 20 say maybe 10 to 20 percent might be child. 21 COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: That would be 21 22 and under? 23 MR. CONNELL: Right. 24 COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: Thank you. MR. CONNELL: That's kind of small there. 25

Is that okay? I'm on the full screen mode so I have to back up.

2.

So we made some slight changes to the area factors, and I think like it was presented in the Aetna presentation these are net neutral to the premium. But, again, we looked at the experience after risk adjustment of certain areas. We did find a few areas that were performing worse and we wanted to make sure that we were priced adequately in those areas. We really just made an offsetting adjustment. Once we moved up a few areas that needed an increase we -- to make it net neutral we reduced the other areas in the state and that's where the negatives come in.

But generally we try to keep those changes fairly modest. I know in a couple of the areas it got an increase. There's still actually like Charlottesville, Richmond, area two and area seven, are still -- have one of the lower factors in the state.

All right. I'll move on to small group.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: This is an off

23 exchange product, correct?

MR. CONNELL: Correct. It was mentioned earlier that we exited our on exchange business in

Healthkeepers. Anthem Health Plans of Virginia has
always been just off exchange. I'll get to the
Healthkeepers in a moment. But we had very little
membership on that on exchange small group product.

For the exchange it was really individual that seemed
to attract the members.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. So our most popular plan is a platinum plan in the Anthem Health Plans, which is a PPO product. And the average increase for the 40-year-old is going to be 7.6 percent. So I think you'll see some -- a lot more modest numbers here compared to the individual. Similar trend, similar number for the health insurer fee, and the age curve. Age curve is a little bit more. I think there's a little more children on policies in the small group market. And the other changes, generally I'd characterize those as benefit or experience changes. And in this plan we try to highlight at the top the main benefit levels of the plan, the co-pays and the out-of-pockets and the deductibles. We did make a few cost-sharing adjustments a little bit below those main lines; things like ER co-pays, other maybe specialist co-pays might have changed. We did make a few cost-sharing increases on those plans, which those benefit changes, I think, are leading to some of that

negative four percent.

2.

All right. I'll move on to slide five.

And, again, we see that the same age curve applying to the small group block is going to mean that, yes, the child rates will go up quite a bit more than the adult rates. The slowest minimum product actually is the same product that saw before, the platinum one that is also our most popular. And in the bronze plan we're seeing a little bit more of an increase there on the -- mainly due to benefit changes I think there, too.

And area, the last worksheet on this, this legal entity, no area changes were made on the PPO.

All right. Our small group Healthkeepers will look similar to what our Anthem Health Plans of Virginia did. Similar assumptions were used there.

Just a slightly different number in the experience and some of the network changes that are happening in Healthkeepers. The increase is slightly higher there.

This is our most popular plan. It's a gold. There is still a slight other of negative there, and I'll flip to the platinum, which is getting the minimum. I think that's the same benefit design as what was on our PPO legal entity. And the maximum

thing, too. Along with these rates that are one Q of '18, we do file quarterly step changes for two Q and three Q and four Q. And we have the ability to refile at a later time, but initially we file those with the intent on hopefully those rates will be the final ones. And our rates as you go further out into the year do get a little bit lower than what's stated on these average annuals. For example, the Healthkeepers in the two Q is going to drop from the fourteen nine at the top page to about twelve seven.

I'd say one of the reasons for a little higher increases this year is the reinstatement of the fee, as we mentioned. We also had a little bit of adverse experience back to 2016 in the small group block. All right.

I have a few prepared remarks to close.

Are there any more questions on the rates?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: There's been several references to the health insurance fee that's being reinstated this year. I think it was waived last year. My information is that's 3.7 percent.

23 Does that sound right?

2.

MR. CONNELL: I think insurers might have a slightly different estimate, and there's an nominal

fee and there's also the fact that some of the fee can't be deducted for taxes. And so some of the for profit companies will have maybe a little bit extra added onto that. But I'd say, yeah, the three, three-and-a-half range is pretty reasonable.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's in addition to the premium?

MR. CONNELL: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.

MR. CONNELL: Just closing remarks here I'd like to make. Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield is committed to offering coverage to Virginia consumers and to submitting rate filings that reflect levels of risk that Anthem deems reasonable. As mentioned earlier, the current environment already presents issues with market stability and challenging rate increases. Furthermore, a significant number of matters that could dramatically impact the sustainability of the marketplace remain unresolved, including whether cost-sharing reductions, as we mentioned earlier, will continue to be funded, whether taxes on the fully insured coverage will be restored, and whether Congress will enact legislation repealing and/or replacing the Affordable Care Act. This unprecedented level of uncertainty makes it

challenging for us to predict the sufficient comfort, the sustainability of the marketplace next year.

2.

We are closely monitoring developments in Washington in the hopes that some action or actions will be taken by Congress or the administration to reduce the level of uncertainty regarding the future of the marketplace such that a reevaluation of our filing is not necessary. If, however, we aren't able to gain certainty on some of these items quickly, Anthem will evaluate potential adjustments to our filing, which could include changes to our participation in the Virginia individual ACA market by rating area.

We will take a thoughtful and deliberate approach to the consideration of any changes to our participation in the Virginia market. Anthem remains committed to continue discussions with policymakers and regulators on ways to stabilize the individual insurance market for the benefit of Virginia's healthcare consumers.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, Mr. Connell.

Thank you very much.

CareFirst is next.

MR. BERRY: Good morning. My name is

Peter Berry. I am the chief actuary for CareFirst.

1 Our address is 10455 Mill Run Circle, Owings Mills, 2. Maryland.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Today I'm going to be addressing, like others, the individual and small group market. I'll 4 be also addressing our two entities, the Group Hospital and Medical Services, Inc., which I'll refer to as GHMSI, which is our PPO products, and also BlueChoice, which is our other entity, which is our HMO and POS products.
 - So the order here is we have individual first and then I'll talk about small group. I'll be happy to answer questions on all of them, but I think what we'll see is small, the increases are flat to negative. So like other carriers the small group market is much more stable and so I will tend to spend most of my time talking about individual.
 - On the screen here you'll see the GHMSI. This is our PPO plan. This year we have two plans. Both plans are on and off the exchange. We have a silver 3500 plan and a gold 1000 plan. I'm sorry. Let me make sure that's right.
- 22 Yes, that's right. Good.
- 23 And so when we see our most popular in 24 our min and max there's only two plans to choose from. 25 I want to start -- this is the one that's the most

concerning, is the individual PPO. You may or may not remember last year I stood here and shared with the three of you that we were exiting the bronze metallic level in Virginia and we expect that to have an impact on the morbidity of the population that we would insure as we were only offering gold and silver.

Generally speaking, healthier people buy the leaner plans. And this is what we observed.

2.

What you see here on this plan, which is the most popular plan, is a 55 percent increase on this silver plan. And there's basically two drivers do that. When we looked at the base period experience moving from 2015 to 2016, that increased by 22 percent. So that's actual claims coming through in the base period.

Last year we also made assumptions on how much sicker we thought the population would be in '17. Now that we actually have '17 members in the bucket we can say, okay, well, who terminated and what was their relative morbidity, who did we keep, now let's make assumptions about the new folks. And what we see here is that for the PPO we actually underestimated the morbidity change in '17 by about 18 percent. Another way of saying that is the people we kept versus the people we lost were about 18 percent sicker than we

thought they would be, and that's a big driver here because that flows through the '18 rates. The numbers were we lost about 30 percent of the membership and they were about 30 percent sicker than the people who were there the prior year. So those in the chart there that you'll see, those are the main drivers of the 55 percent.

2.

This one here -- again, because we only have two plans, a lot of this is repetitive and so I probably won't spend too much time on it. You can't really see it on this, but on the left side we have the gold plan I mentioned. That sees a 44 percent increase. It's a little less because of some of the benefit modifications we made on the silver. And also the way that we calibrate our pricing model increased the silver a little bit more than the gold. But it's the same story on gold. It's the same base period experience, it's the same morbidity change. That has to be done at the market level. And so what we really see driving here is the '15 experience to '16 and the population that we're continuing to insure.

I'll just comment with regards to rating area. We're only in one rating area, rating area ten, so we don't really have an issue of rating factors. So that's that one.

Off exchange, like I said, the benefits are the same on and off exchange. The only difference is you can't have a portion coverage on exchange on an FFM and we offer off exchange. So there's a slight little bit of difference in pricing there. But generally speaking they're the same.

2.

With regards to the changes on the increases, we did this year reflect, because these are separate products on and off because of the abortion, on on exchange plans for silver we did impact what we thought the what's called induced demand would be on the CSR products. And what this means is the lower the co-pay is for somebody, the more likely they are to go to the doctor. And so that's called induced demand. You can price it in. Because the CSR plans subsidize co-pays for our members, we do reflect that in the pricing. So that does cause some differences between the silver plans off and on. But everything else is generally the same.

Again, there is that, and we get there. So that's the GMHSI individual PPO. Again, like I said, this is the one where we're seeing the big increases, the 55 percent for an age 40 male in silver, 44 for gold. Let me pause there before I get on to BlueChoice and see if you have any questions.

Okay. That's the bad news. The good news was that we also got out of bronze in BlueChoice and we had to make assumptions for morbidity for that block as well. Our initial -- the base period experience, believe it or not, changed about exactly as the PPO; went up by about 22 percent. However, the population that we kept was slightly healthier than we assumed, and so that leverages down the increase some. So you can see here that even though the base period went up the same, by the time you get down to the rate it only increased 18 percent.

Now, I have to caveat that by saying when we looked at the morbidity change from '16 to '17, we looked at who we lost, we looked at who we kept, and we normalized for age and all that stuff. But then we have to make assumptions on the new members. And what we initially assume is, since we don't know anything about them, we assume they have the same morbidity as the existing. We're continuing to look at that, and unfortunately we don't have definitive data yet, but it looks like the new members we got were actually significantly sicker than the existing. So I do have to caveat my good news statement with that, and we're continuing to look at that. But right now what we've put in for BlueChoice is actually a lot lower than the

PPO. So we're pleased about that. Again, rating area ten.

So that's the individual market for us right now. Right now we have assumed -- I'll make a couple of other comments about BlueChoice. We have assumed that CSRs will continue to be funded. We are continuing, like everyone else, to monitor what's happening at the federal level. And we're also taking a look around the country to see how people are handling that.

The one other comment I'll make is in regards to the enforcement of the mandate. We have made a provision in our BlueChoice rates -- not in our PPO rates but in our BlueChoice HMO rates -- for a deterioration morbidity for non enforcement of the mandate. Now, the total morbidity assumption deterioration in '18, we assumed that the population would get 20 percent sicker. Some of that is just what we've seen in the past. As we lose members, we lose the healthier members and we keep the sicker members and it goes up. That number in '16 was actually 20 percent deterioration. It looks to be 16 percent in '17. So if you think about that, out of total change in '18, that total 20, probably about 15 of it is what we would have expected anyway and then

there's an additional five associated with the non enforcement of the mandate. There's several studies out there that we've reviewed that we believe that that's a reasonable number.

2.

So that's my presentation on individual, and let me pause again there and see if you have any questions. Move on to small group. I think we can do this fairly quickly.

Like most carriers we are mostly off exchange in the small group market. I think we have three plans on the shop, as it's called. In BlueChoice we have 50 plans off. So most of this is off exchange business. What we saw from '15 to '16, unlike individual we saw a 22 percent increase, we saw low single digit increases in our experience on the order of two percent for a small group, which is very encouraging. We took the opportunity there to lower our trends from 8.4 down to seven. So that accounts -- that's over two years so that accounts for about a three percent drop in rates. As you can see here -- keep going. Sorry. Wrong group.

Most popular plan for GHMSI shows a five percent drop for an age 40. And that's primarily driven by the drop in the trend and then the revised age curve dynamic that people have been talking about,

how when they raised the kids' rates, the adult rates dropped relative to that. So you see about a 3.3 percent drop there and that accounts for the five. So we're very encouraged to see very either moderate or negative increases on small group.

2.

commissioner dimitri: Let me ask you on -- and this goes for the other plans you illustrated, you have a 5.1 percent decrease here in the rate from 1/17 to 1/18. It's not for exactly the same product, though, is it? I mean the deductibles increased -- you know, using this as an example, the small group, the deductibles have increased in 2018, so it's a little -- you know, to say that the rate has gone down 5.1 percent, the rate itself has gone down but in terms -- you're not getting the same thing I quess is what I'm saying.

MR. BERRY: You're absolutely right.

That's a great point. I'll address that. For us our modifications to our small group plans in 2018 was in response to the federal AV calculator being released. And, as you might know, the actual value, you have to put your benefits into their calculator and you have to be within a span, a range, a plus or minus two, around, for a particular medal. What happens when they rebased the -- their AV calculator and sent it

out, we put our plans in for '17, we were outside the range, and so we had to make slight modifications to move ourselves back into the range. And that really is the justification and accounts for the benefit changes that you see.

2.

You're absolutely right. There were changes to move the AV up to get back into the -- I'm sorry, to move the AV down to get back into the range, and one of those was raising the deductible here, as you see, from 2,000 to 2500 in our most popular platinum plan.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: So you're saying the actual rates you charged have to fit within --

MR. BERRY: Not the rates. Basically you put your benefits in and it gives you a number. Like .8 is gold .7 is silver. And you have to be within plus or minus two. So we put our benefits in, it spits out a number, and then we go, oh, we got to adjust our benefits to get back within the range. In a small group that accounts for the benefit changes that you see in '18. I think our preference would have been not to change the benefits at all.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: In general it seems like, if you look at all the plans submitted this year, across carriers, the individual premium

increases are far larger than the small group. And is the biggest single factor, is it the morbidity is just better, the trend is better in the small group market versus individual?

2.

MR. BERRY: Yeah. There's a lot of reasons, I think. Generally speaking, the small group market you've got people who are at work, you've got the risk spread over groups rather than individuals. Introducing guaranteed issue into the individual pool that we use to be underwritten and then having a relatively weak mandate, what we saw was that people whose house are on fire are buying insurance. And that drives up the morbidity very quickly. And we've seen that every year since 2014.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: You mean adverse selection?

MR. BERRY: Yeah, that's adverse selection. And so that's much more pronounced in the individual market than it would be in a small group market.

Very quickly, here again you see on the bottom line you see a minus five two and a four six for min and maxes. We're in one rating area. Minus four two, off exchange. That was on exchange. We did a scan. We only have three plans. But all the

numbers here are very comparable. Here you see off exchange we have, you know, upwards of 15 plans, and the maximum was a minus 1.6 for an age 40. We go to BlueChoice, this one, it's about flat.

2.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: I just had a question, just an observation. I would assume that with -- that your morbidity would be lower with your higher deductible plans just because people would think twice before they go. Is that what you've been finding?

MR. BERRY: That's absolutely true. And once you normalize for age and different things it's very pronounced that the folks with the gold and platinum plans, when we used to have platinum in individual, were much sicker. And that's supposed to be captured in the risk adjustment mechanisms but, as people have talked about, it's imperfect.

Just to finish up here, so small group on exchange BlueChoice we have about 50 plans on exchange, three off. Our most popular plan is this silver here. It's at a .4. Again, we're very, very close to flat here on these. A minus .6 versus a .4. And, again, we're in one rating area. Off exchange, minus one. These are all numbers that are here. You have more plans here off exchange so there's a little

bit more variation. But, again, minus four to plus three. Very moderate increases.

And that's it. Any additional questions?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think not.

Thank you very much.

2.

Okay. Cigna.

MR. ZORNOSA: Good morning. My name is Nick Zornosa. I'm the lead pricing actuary for Cigna's individual health business, and I'm here today actually in place of Zachary Hoffman. Zach is the signing actuary, the filing actuary for the Virginia filing. Zach put together the filing and he actually put together this presentation that we're going to go through today also. Zach had a conflict and he couldn't be here today. Zach is on my staff, so I'm going to be representing his work and walking everyone through this.

So 2018 is Cigna's second year serving the individual exchange market in Virginia. 2017 obviously is our first year. So a lot of what we are going to be talking about is -- will -- from an actuarial perspective we had to develop rates for 2018 without access to direct data in the Virginia marketplace. So we assembled other internal Cigna data that we had and adjusted as we needed to.

So to walk through the exhibits, what you see here is the rate increase on our most popular plan. The most popular plan in 2017 is a 68 percent actuarial value plan with a \$4500 deductible, 85 percent co-insurance and a maximum out-the-pocket of \$7,150.

2.

And 2018, the plan is staying mostly the same. The actuarial value is very comparable. The out-of-pocket to going up to 7,350 and co-insurance is changing to 80 percent.

So the rate increases, splitting to the different component drivers for an age 40-year-old, we have population morbidity, which is the most wrecking item here, 23.4 percent driven by population morbidity. That is driven primarily by the issue that I mentioned earlier, not having access to direct Virginia data to price.

So when we priced 2017 we used other individual health data that we had from other states. That's, naturally, an inexact process. We did the best we could, but looking back and reviewing our rates for 2018 we found that our morbidity ended up being a bit lower, and actually 2016 was the first year that we had our own internal data on the product type that we're offing in Virginia. So the product

that we're offering in Virginia is a more managed, narrower network product than what we had experienced in 2014 and 2015.

2.

So what's different now than when we were here last year and we were filing for 2017 is we finally had access to that type of product in other states. So that's really what's driving the large morbidity increase here.

The other components, trend, representing unit and utilization cost increases, 7.8 percent year over year. The health insurance fee moratorium we estimated at a 4.4 percent change. And then the revised age curve as having a favorable impact at 1.7 percent. And on this plan the other changes come out to a 4.7 percent cost increase, and the driver there is largely customer utilization patterns, specifically what we would call the induced demand factor.

Any questions so far?

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: It's always worst to go first. We get all our questions out.

MR. ZORNOSA: Heavy on the early alphabet letters here.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: We might go reversed next year. I guess it's going to be best to be in the middle.

MR. ZORNOSA: We're fine with that, too. 2. So what you see --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE HEARING EXAMINER: David does a very good job talking about the actuarial issues, and obviously actuarial issues is what this is all about. So David always covers those very clearly.

MR. ZORNOSA: Doing a lot of the heavy lifting.

So what we see here are the minimum and maximum annual rate changes. The minimum change here is in rating area ten. It is a 27.8 percent rate increase. The plan here in question had a favorable impact from the actuarial value being lower, as you see there, from a 70.8 percent actuarial value in 2017 being mapped to a plan with a 68.6 actuarial value. So the -- we're able to pass that savings on into the premium and keep the premium increases lower for people in that plan.

And looking at the components on the bottom, most of them are the same. The one that is different is the other changes really, which is where that plan design change is coming out, is also an induced demand utilization impact that's coming out there. As people are in a cheaper plan they tend to be better healthcare consumers.

And then the plan with the maximum annual rate change, this is a gold plan, 2017, 2018; similar to some of the other comments that we've had in the room. We've had sustained unfavorable performance in our gold plans for the most part as the inefficiencies in the risk adjustment mechanism aren't quite able to make those plans work for us. So we're seeing a 85.6 percent rate increase on the gold plan driven by the other changes there, the 36.1 percent.

Okay. Our rating area factors decreased slightly in rating area ten, which is the Northern Virginia market that we're in. And then our tobacco load is the same from 2017 to 2018.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ZORNOSA: All right. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Kaiser.

MS. SCHROER: All right. Good morning.

18 My name is Sheila Schroer. I am an executive director

19 and chief actuary for Kaiser's mid-atlantic region.

20 Our address is 2101 East Jefferson Street, Rockville,

Maryland. Thank you for the opportunity to speak

22 today.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

23 All right. This first page shows our

24 most popular plan with over 20 percent of our

25 enrollment. It is a 6,000 deductible plan, which is

unchanged from '17 to 8 -- 2017 to 2018, but we did increase some of the other cost-sharing components between the years, mostly to stay within the AV range.

2.

Looking at the components of the rate increase, for our individual block we have not seen big changes in morbidity the last couple of years, even though we have grown enrollment consistently, which is actually surprising to me. So we're assuming zero percent morbidity again.

For trend we have a 3.8 percent trend, which, as we've seen, is a lot lower than some of the other carrier trends. The reason for that is Kaiser's trend is mostly made up of budgeted fixed costs. In other words, it's the salaries we're paying our physicians.

Health insurance fee is going up about one percent. That amount is actually lower than most of the other carriers, primarily because we get a discount because we are not-for-profit 501(3)(c) corporation, and we also don't pay federal income taxes. So there's no non deductibility to worry about.

The revised age curve is a two percent reduction, and then the biggest component of the rate change falls in other, which is made up of a couple of

things; the benefit changes, the -- we've also updated 1 2. our benefit relativity model, which is something that we should do every year but Kaiser had not updated it 3 in several years. So by updating the model we are 4 5 picking up the changes in the cost-sharing, the value 6 of the cost-sharing components, which drift and change a little bit every year. But if you don't update your 7 model every year, then it can look like a big change 8 9 if you're doing several years of catch-up. So that 10 flows through all of our benefits. 11 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: What is a benefit 12 relativity? I don't really understand that. 13 MS. SCHROER: Yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Is that trend by 15 another name? 16 MS. SCHROER: It's kind of like the AV, 17 but a benefit relativity means it's the relationship 18 between two different products. So if you have a 100 deductible product, you have a higher relativity than 19 20 if you have a 1,000 deductible product. So the 21 relative value of the cost-sharing is that difference. 22 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: I think I'll need 23 another lesson later, but that's a good start. 24 MS. SCHROER: You can ask David later.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: But your model,

25

and we've had this discussion before, but you have --2. tend to have lower changes to the morbidity and certainly trend and that's because you -- Kaiser really is in the business, is it not, of not only being the insurer but also being the healthcare provider. You're not entering into contracts with networks of physicians or hospitals, you are providing the health services yourself.

MS. SCHROER: That is mostly true. We do have contracts with non Kaiser providers, but that's for a very small portion of our business. And that's for like if there's a specialty that Kaiser doesn't provide we will send members outside of the network to get the specialty care.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you have much more control over your health care costs.

MS. SCHROER: Yes.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.

MS. SCHROER: All right. This page shows our 24 percent average increase at the top compared to the average adult and child rate. The difference between those two is solely due to the change in CMS age curve. The plan on the left with five percent of our enrollment is the minimum increase plan. And the plan on the right with about six percent of our

enrollment is the maximum increase plan. The minimum 2. increase plan has a zero deductible and low cost-sharing components. It's a gold plan. The maximum increase plan is a high deductible plan. rate increase components are the same as on the prior page except in the other bucket, which is where we get into the benefit change and benefit model update that we did.

There is a leveraging impact for high deductible plans in the benefit model, so when we updated the impact on high deductibles is more pronounced than on low deductibles.

All right. Any questions on these pages before I move on?

Okay. This page is just wrong so ignore it. My apologies. We do not vary rates by rating area. We are in areas seven, ten and 12, and those amounts should be factors of one. And then we have not changed our tobacco use factor.

All right. So that is individual.

Moving on to small group, we have over a quarter of our enrollment is in this plan. It's a very low cost-share plan. It's an overall increase of .6 percent. The morbidity trend, health insurance fee, rate revised age curve are very similar to the

individual impacts. And then other changes, again,
the benefit model update actually improves this plan.
So you can even see that we've increased or lowered
the co-pays on PCP for this plan, but we're also,
because of the benefit model, still getting a rate
decrease for the net change.

- COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: So what is the real driver here, to end up at virtually no change in the rate? Is it the stability and size of the member group or --
- MS. SCHROER: It is stability. I
 wouldn't say it's the size of this pool because our
 small group pool is not very big. Surprisingly it is
 stable though.
 - get -- if you do have a plan with, you know, say 20,000 members versus 2- or 3,000, over time is the movement in cost typically more moderate for that larger group where you don't have, you know, people coming in and sort of cherry-picking the plans they want?
 - MS. SCHROER: Exactly, yes. Yes. One person's impact on that larger pool is not going to be as pronounced as on the smaller pool. So you're exactly right. And, again, because of our cost

- 1 structure and the way we pay our doctors, it just 2. doesn't -- it doesn't impact us when we get sick people as much as a sicker person going to another 3 carrier. 4 5 COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: Why is that? 6 would it go, you know, for the same coverage? MS. SCHROER: So think of it this way: A 7 healthy person might go see the doctor once a year for 8 9 their annual checkup. Someone who is sicker might go, 10 let's say, once a month. We still pay our providers 11 the same amount of money whether the person -- whether 12 the member goes once a year or once a month. The 13 provider gets the same amount of dollars. 14 COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: It's a capitated 15 rate. 16 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You control 17 your --18 MS. SCHROER: It's like a capitated rate. 19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You control your 20 healthcare costs. 21 MS. SCHROER: Exactly, yes. Yeah. 22 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Because you employ
- MS. SCHROER: Yes. It's a very different

23

the providers.

I've been there two years.

Okay. Minimum and max. We have a 4.4 percent overall average rate change; the adults at 1.7 and child is at it looks like 29 percent. The min and the max plans -- let me explain that minimum plan just for a moment. It is a point of service plan. The cost-sharing components, there's three rows and those are different tiers. The top row is for cost-sharing when a member goes to see a Kaiser doctor. The second tier is your cost-sharing if you go to a non Kaiser doctor that's in Kaiser's expanded network. And then the third tier is your cost-sharing if you go outside of that network.

So this is a plan where you don't have to go see a Kaiser doctor to get your care. You can go outside Kaiser but you will pay a little bit more.

And this is the plan that's getting the minimum increase. The vast majority of our expenses are in that first tier of the plan design.

Then the maximum is a straight-up high deductible HMO. We've increased the cost-share components a little bit there. You can see the number of members in each of these plans. It's under 400 in these plans, so there's not a lot of enrollment in here.

1 All right. Then, again, this is just not 2. updated. Factors are all one for rating area seven, ten and 12, and then we don't rate for tobacco. 3 And that is all I have. Is there any 4 5 questions? 6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: We've already 7 asked them. Thank you very much. MS. SCHROER: Thank you very much. 8 9 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Piedmont. 10 MR. DAVIS: Good morning. I'm Zach 11 I'm a consulting actuary with Millman here 12 representing Piedmont Community Healthcare HMO. 13 So for Piedmont's individual plans here 14 the most popular plan had an 18.3 percent rate 15 increase, and the main drivers are the trend, the 16 change in the federal age curve, and then some other 17 factors which are related to plan design changes 18 similar to the other presenters. 19 The minimum plan design here or the 20 minimum change was 7.1 percent and the maximum was 21 20.4 percent. Again, the minimum was driven by plan 22 design changes and the maximum was our catastrophic 23 plan which had a pretty dramatic change in the 24 enrollment, the age of the members enrolled, so that

was causing the large other change there.

25

1 For Piedmont we file in five rating areas 2. and they all have the same rating factor and there's no change from last year. 3 We file a tiered tobacco factor and, 4 5 again --6 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Can I ask you a question? I was curious about your -- when I saw your 7 rating area chart, my impression of Piedmont has 8 9 always been you serve Lynchburg area, basically 10 focused in Lynchburg --11 MR. DAVIS: Right. 12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- but you listed 13 other rating areas, including Richmond. Is there a 14 reason why --15 MR. DAVIS: We have a small presence in 16 select counties in other rating areas, but our 17 majority, I believe over 90 percent, is in that 18 Lynchburg area. 19 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is in Lynchburg. 20 Right. Okay. 21 MR. DAVIS: Yeah. 22 So we file a tiered tobacco factor. 23 There's been no change from to 2017 to 2018. that's the individual. 24

For small group, our most popular plan

25

actually only had 104 members. We don't have a very large presence in the small group ACA market. It had a ten percent rate increase. Again, the main drivers are trend and our age curve. We have a particularly large amount of children in the small group population so you're seeing a pretty big decrease on the adults because the children are increasing so much, since this rate represents an age four to a year.

2.

Going to our minimum plan here, minus 5.4 percent and our maximum plan of 15.9 percent. We made a -- Piedmont terminated their PPO products and cross-walked their members into an HMO POS product, so a lot of the changes here is due to the cross-walk. You can see the change in deductible went from 2500 to 4500. That's just how the cross-walk was designed. And then our maximum plan here is 15.9 percent. And then, you know, again, the drivers are just the plan design changes.

For small group, the same; 1.0 rating area. There's no changes from '17 to '18. And we file a tobacco factor and we did not change that either.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I think what we will do here is take a 15-minute break and then give everybody a little break here, the court

reporter and everybody else, and come back and complete I think we have Sentara and United. So I've got 11:09. Let's come back at 11:25 and resume.

(Recess.)

2.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Sentara.

MR. RATZLAFF: I trust everyone is refreshed from the brief break. Thank you very much for that. My name is Dean Ratzlaff, representing Sentara Health Plan from Virginia Beach. Our address is 4417 Corporation Lane, the zip code is 23462.

So we, like Kaiser, are a provider-owned health plan, although we go outside of our own provider system and we do contract with other doctors throughout the state and we use the name Optima.

Optima is technically a subsidiary of Sentara.

We have two legal entities. The very first one in individual doesn't happen to have any members in it right now. We -- I'll briefly skim through some of the items, but I'm going to do this one rather quick because it has no members at the moment. Trend, 7.1 percent. Pretty standard. We're not assuming any changes in the morbidity or health that drives the claims of the members. And overall this is a 9.1 percent rate increase.

Again, same plan for high and low. And

then these are the area factors that we have; the tobacco factor has stayed constant at 1.2. So somebody who does admit to smoking tobacco will receive a 20 percent higher premium.

2.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Optima, you've always been very concentrated in the Hampton Roads area, correct?

MR. RATZLAFF: Correct.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you reference your model is similar to Kaiser in that you obviously -- I mean Sentara obviously has the largest hospital chain in Hampton Roads. And so that's your provider network. And that's why you can control the costs more because you actually own the provider network.

MR. RATZLAFF: Yeah. I like to think of the Hampton Roads area as our base because we can work with our provider network to control costs. We do have a presence across the state, but we're not as effective in controlling costs outside of Hampton Roads because we're working with other providers.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. If you go into other areas you have to contract with those hospitals of different chains, like Carillon or Bon Secours, whoever it might be.

MR. RATZLAFF: Correct. So this is where we have some more membership, and I'll just kind of walk through it. First off, you'll notice that our most populated plan is a silver plan. That's not uncommon, given that that's where I believe over 70 percent of the members are, both for us and what I've seen in nationwide statistics. You can see that we are raising the deductible a little bit going into 2018 from \$4,000 to 4600. This would be capitalizing on the what we call the de minimus range, which is allowing us to lower the actuarial value by a couple of additional percentage points.

2.

Our morbidity expectation is 9.6 percent. We're nailing a trend of 8.1 percent. As mentioned before, the reintroduction of the health insurance fee varies from insurers depending upon the size of their business as well as their status as for profit or nonprofit. We are actually organized as a nonprofit, and we are estimating this will affect the rates by 1.0 percent.

The age curve, as has been mentioned before, is generally one to three percent depending upon the carrier. If you're not under age 21, your rates will go down by 1.7 percent in our plan.

And then the biggest driver of the other

changes is that benefit change that I mentioned before. Increasing the deductible from 4000 to 4600 does have a downward impact on the rates. So finalizing an increase of about 12.7 percent for this particular plan.

2.

We overall are filing an average annual rate change of 19.3. The minimum rate change happens in another silver plan. Now, if you follow very closely you'll notice that this is identical to the plan on the prior page with one exception. We call this our select plan, and members that elect for this plan have to go to the Sentara provider network. So this is where we can really control costs.

Once again, the items above the other changes are going to be the same. Morbidity 9.6 percent, trend 8.1 percent, and so on. Here again, a big driver of the other changes is our benefit adjustment. That is bringing the premium down. We've also made some tweaks to our risk adjustment. We have felt that with the changes in the risk adjustment model occurring in 2018, that will also have a small downward impact on our premiums.

By contrast, our plan that's increasing the most is once again a gold plan. I think we have seen that for a lot of carriers. The benefits are

changing here. That does have an impact. And what's also really driving this is, identical to what other carriers have mentioned that, we've had some very poor experience in our gold block of business and so we've made some adjustments to account for the fact that we've just been losing a lot more money in gold than we have in some of the other medal levels.

2.

We recalibrated our area factors to put our home base, Virginia Beach, at a 1.0 and there's been no change to our tobacco factors.

That concludes individual. Before I move on to small group, are there any questions?

With small group, the picture is different than the individual. First off, a quick glance at the benefits shows us that we're not making any significant changes. The major benefits are shown here and they are staying static from 2017 to 2018. We are assuming that the morbidity, again the portion of claims driven by health status, will not change going into 2018. Our trend, which as David described earlier, is a slightly different concept than morbidity. We are choosing a trend of 8.8 percent. In this case the reintroduction of the health insurance fee increases premiums by .7 percent. And the revised age curve for a 40-year-old brings it down

to .9 percent.

Some of the other changes are a slight reduction in profit. We made a business decision to reduce our profit margin by .7 percent. The risk adjustment also has had a slight downward impact on our premium. This is, once again, due to some changes that the government has made in the risk adjustment model going into 2018. And then we have -- when we set our 2017 rates there was an expectation that our 2016 claims costs would come in at a certain level, and what we did find is that they came in 4.9 percent higher than that. So those components just mentioned make up the 2.4 percent and the other changes resulting in a final increase of just under 9 percent for this plan.

Overall we are filing a 9.4 percent rate increase. When we look at the plan with the lowest increase, unlike individual where gold was kind of leading the way in terms of rate increases, in this case gold is our minimum rate increase, and you can see that, once again, the benefits are not changing in terms of the deductible and co-insurance. However, the maximum out-of-pocket, or MOOP, we have raised that up, and in this case it does reflect that opportunity in the actuarial value calculator to lower

the benefits by a little bit but still retain the same medal status.

COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: The maximum out-of-pocket, is that effectively a cap on co-insurance, co-pay, everything?

MR. RATZLAFF: Yes. When it says \$8,000, that means the member will never pay more than \$8,000 throughout the course of the year no matter how high their medical expenses are.

COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: Okay. So the deductible has its own cap. So is it the co-insurance that is the real factor that might lead someone to have to lay out up to \$8,000?

MR. RATZLAFF: Yes, it is. So they will pay 100 percent of the deductible. If they have a very severe medical ailment, maybe \$100,000 plus, they pay the fires \$1500, and then now if you take the remaining, say, 98,500, multiply it by 20 percent, that's just shy of 20,000, but instead of paying that full 20,000 we would say, you've already paid 1500, you're going to pay up to 8800 and we'll stop it there. So then Optima, we will pay the rest of the medical expenses that the doctor needs.

COMMISSIONER DIMITRI: Thanks.

MR. RATZLAFF: Once again, the big driver

between the max and the minimum is kind of embedded within inside the other. Here it's a downward force of 2.9 percent. And we did decrease profit a little bit just like in the prior plan. We did this across the board for this block of minus .7 percent. We do have a slight downward impact of 1.8 percent due to risk adjustment changes, but then offsetting those is the fact that when we set our 2017 premiums we expected our 2016 claim costs to be at a certain level. And it has come in, indeed, 4.9 percent higher. So embedded within the other changes are some positives and some negatives that balance each other out.

2.

Our bronze has a plan that, unlike some others, has not having significant changes to its benefits. When we look at the other changes, which has really what causes this to be the highest increase in premium, what we see are that the same items I mentioned before about a decrease in our profit margin of .7 percent, change in risk adjustment that has favorable to premium, but here we have had some very poor experience in bronze. And so we have adjusted it to try to have it at a similar loss ratio, or a similar ratio of claims to premium, as what we see in the other medal levels. So that has what has driving

this plan to be the highest increase.

No changes to our area factors, and we do not have a tobacco factor for this block.

Our other and slightly more populated legal entity has Optima Health Plan. Once again, if you look at the cost sharing for the most common plan we elected only to change the MOOP here. Population morbidity, and in fact all the way down to that revised age curve, will be identical to what we saw previously with one exception. That has the trend. This has our HMO business, whereas the other legal entity was our PPO business. We are estimating a 9.0 percent trend as opposed to the 8.8 percent trend that we saw in the prior block of business.

percent and the other changes has a change to the area factors. The increase in the MOOP does have a downward force on the premium as well. And then in this instance our experience came in about three-and-a-half percent higher than what it was built upon in the 2017 rates. So some downward and some upward pressure, net being a downward force of negative .9 percent.

Overall we're looking to ask for a 9.5 percent rate increase. The lowest rate increase has

in a gold plan, once again. You can see the benefits there, again with only the MOOP, but as well as the outpatient co-pay changing slightly there.

2.

other changes are some of the same factors previously mentioned. A change in our area factors has a lowering effect, as does the benefit change in risk adjustment impact. However, we did have -- experience claims that came in 3.5 higher -- percent higher than what we expected during the setting of the 2017 rates. It just so happens by coincidence that our final rate increase for this plan has 3.5 percent as well.

Moving towards the end, our plan with the maximum rate change has a silver plan. You can see a little bit more changes in the benefits here. The deductible and co-insurance have been undergoing some changes. This happens to be a plan where we've had very, very few members and we are actually mapping them into a very different kind of plan. And it's that different kind of plan that really drives the 42 percent other changes.

The biggest driver here has the benefit changes. They constitute about 38 percent out of that 42 percent, and, as you can see, changing the deductible co-insurance and the MOOP, they all work

together to make this a much richer plan than the members would have experienced back in 2017.

2.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: So has this for somebody that wants to sort of basically self-insure up to about \$4,000 and then they realize that after they get to 7,000 you're going to pay for everything? Has that sort of --

MR. RATZLAFF: Yeah, that's absolutely correct. So they would be on the hook, so to speak, for the first \$4,000 in costs, and then in this particular case they would not have to pay anything in terms of co-insurance and their medical expenses.

Now, what you don't see here has that we do have a number of cost-sharing items for prescription drugs.

And some prescription drugs are very inexpensive, generics, some are very expensive. Sovaldi, Harvoni for hepatitis C, and others, if they happen to take one of those more expensive ones they will easily blow past that \$7,350 MOOP.

And just as a side note, the maximum out-of-pocket, there has a limit on it that we as insurers are allowed to pay as protection to the consumers. That's set by the federal government and it has adjusted for medical inflation each and every year.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: And so this -- your maximum out-of-pocket includes drugs.

2.

MR. RATZLAFF: That has correct. Anytime we show the maximum out-of-pocket it includes everything that our plan covers, medical as well as drugs.

COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Thank you.

MR. RATZLAFF: In 2017 we actually had different area factors depending upon what quarter it was. We were trying to get our areas more equivalent in terms of the loss ratio. We're also making some more adjustments going to 2018, as you can see there. But when it come to tobacco, which unfortunately has not labeled but the small box on the right, we once again have no premium increase if a person smokes, as some other insurers have found out, because it's essentially based upon self-report. We've not found a lot of impact if we raise the premium for somebody who admits that they are a smoker.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I guess that's because they just won't tell you next year.

MR. RATZLAFF: That's pretty much the case. And if there has a carrier that has no impact as being a smoker, they may just go ahead and go over there and not tell them.

1 Well, that concludes my presentation. 2. Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER JAGDMANN: Thank you. 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. 4 5 United. 6 MR. FLEIG: Good morning. John Fleig with United. I'm here with Dan Akier, who will 7 actually go over the numbers. We're here to talk 8 9 about our off exchange small group market. We have 10 four different companies; UnitedHealthcare, 11 UnitedHealthcare of the Mid-Atlantic, Optimum Choice, 12 Inc., and a very small plan UnitedHealthcare of River 13 Valley. 14 And before I get started, or Dan gets 15 started, I'd like to thank Commissioner Cunningham for 16 the 22 years I've known her. I hate to say it's that 17 long but it has. And wish you the best of luck and 18 thanks for all the help you've given me over the 19 years. 20 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you got the 21 easy part. 22 MR. FLEIG: I do. 23 MR. AKIER: I got the hard part. I'm the 24 last person before lunch. I've seen enough of these

so I should have it figured out.

25

1 Good morning. My name has Dan Akier.

So without further ado, we'll get started.

2.

I'm with UnitedHealthcare. I'm the signing actuary for three of these four legal entities. I do not sign for River Valley, which has the smaller entity that John mentioned. That's taken care of by another team.

So our most popular plan on Optimum

Choice has a total rate increase of 4.24 percent,

mainly driven by trend and the health insurance fee,

which has at 2.9 percent. The other changes, we

didn't spike out the revised age curve for the three

entities I'm responsible for. That would be a minus

3.4 percent. And for River Valley that will be 2.9

percent. Negative 2.9. So I just wanted to make that

note.

You know, primarily the other changes are driven by base rate actions. We have taken our rate development, plan design changes, our model changes, our pricing model changes, which has -- our pricing model has recalibrated each year. So that will drive that other change's bucket.

Our minimum change in Optimum, which we call OCI, has about 1.6 percent. And similar to the other plan I talked about, you know, the same trend, same health insurance fee, and, you know, a little

more than the other changes with plan design and model. Pricing model driven changes.

2.

As for our maximum annual rate change, it's about 17.8 percent. The same trend, same health insurer fee. But this one had a larger -- this one had an increase in the other changes due to design and model changes.

And we have no area changes in the three licenses I'm responsible for. So this will be the same for OCI, UnitedHealthcare of the Mid-Atlantic, and for UHIC. And we have no tobacco factors. It's 1.0. So that's why there are NAs right here.

Any questions on OCI?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Just to clarify, we're talking about a small group off exchange product?

MR. AKIER: Yes. I'm sorry. This is all small group, yes. We are no longer on the individual exchange. These are all small group off exchange, yes.

Moving into UnitedHealthcare River

Valley, the most -- and I meant to say, too, our rates

for our rate for River Valley has rating area five,

Bristol. For the other three entities they're all

Richmond, rating area seven.

So for River Valley, again about 400 to 500 members, very small, small block. The most popular plan has a rate decrease of minus 7.6 percent. You see their trend has 7.8 percent and their health insurance fee has 3.2.

2.

Other changes, they made base rate actions during the middle of the year as well, so they have seven one rate cuts in addition to rate cuts for 1/1/18. So that's what's driving a lot of the -- a lot of this decrease here for this most popular plan.

Their minimum rate change has minus 12 percent. Again, same trend in health insurer fee as in the last plan, and other changes driven by those base rate actions they've taken third quarter this year and also for 1/1/18, in addition to, you know, some plan design changes and pricing model changes.

And the maximum annual rate change is 9.9 percent. Again, same trend. It's like a broken record. Same health insurance fee. And, again, just to reiterate, their revised age curve has a minus 2.9 percent. So that's included in the other changes, as I noted before.

And they do have some changes for the area factors. They've only -- they kept -- they made no changes of Bristol and they lowered the other areas

by five percent. Not much membership in the other 2. areas but they saw some favorability so they decided to make a five percent rate cut in those other areas. 3 And they also have no tobacco factors.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions for UHIC or the River Valley?

I'll move to UnitedHealthcare of the Mid-Atlantic. Most popular plan. Had a rate increase of -- has a rate increase of 16.6 percent. Again, see the same trend in health insurer fee that we saw in OCI. And the other changes are driven by plan design change and, again, the pricing model has driving those increases.

And then our least expensive, or our smallest annual change, minimum annual change, is a silver plan that's getting a 4.7 percent increase. Again, trend 6.7, health insurer fee 2.9, and the other changes we're seeing; again, plan design, pricing model. And, again, the revised age curve for us is minus 3.4 percent for UHCMA. That's, again, baked into the other changes.

Our maximum change is a gold plan. And that has getting an 18 percent change. 18 percent increase. We're typically seeing -- this is an HSA plan. We're typically -- we are seeing in our pricing model our experience isn't as strong in HSA markets, so we are seeing across all the states I'm responsible for nationwide in our company we're seeing some larger increases to HSA plans. So this isn't atypical what we're seeing in our company.

2.

And that's a lot what's driving here is the pricing model change and the other changes.

And, again, no change area factors and no tobacco.

And last but not least would be
UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company. And our most
popular plan had a 3.9 percent increase. The same
trend, same health insurer fee as the other two
licenses I'm responsible for, and, again, this one,
you know, plan design change and pricing model driving
the other changes.

And also across the board we took a three-and-a-half percent rate increase based on our rate development for 1/1/18. So that's also -- I didn't mention it but that's also part of the other changes.

Our least popular -- our least -- our lowest -- our minimum annual rate change -- I shouldn't say our least popular but it's not that popular with only five people in it -- had an 8.1

percent decrease versus one Q 17 and largely driven by the benefit change. You can see the deductible goes from 2,000 to 3500, so that's going to make the plan leaner. So that's the biggest driver there for that rate decrease.

And the plan in UHIC with the largest increase would be 20.9 percent. It's a gold plan.

Mainly driven by the pricing model. By a pricing model, updated pricing model. And, again, like in our other entities, other than River Valley, no increase, no changes to the areas factors, and no tobacco.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you don't do tobacco either.

MR. AKIER: No. I could have put 1.0 in there. Any other questions?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't think so.

17 Thank you, Mr. Akier.

2.

18 MR. AKIER: Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, that concludes the presentations. Let me thank all the carriers for your hard work in presenting these -- the data today. Very detailed. We are aware of the challenges you face. We're also aware of the challenges consumers face in paying for health insurance. And the Bureau will do what it always

does, which it will review rate applications very 2. closely, very carefully, look very carefully at the actuarial data. As we all know, these rates are driven by actuarial data, the factors that we heard about today, so the Bureau will do the absolute best it can to try to scrub these and be fair to all concerned. So with that, we thank you very much,

and, again, Jackie, thank you for all your many years of service and we'll see somebody else sitting there next year. But we thank you.

And thank everybody again. Thank you.

(The hearing concluded at 11:58 a.m.)

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Carol M. Tayloe, RMR, CMRS, CCR, certify that I recorded verbatim by stenotype the proceedings in the captioned cause before the HONORABLE MARK C. CHRISTIE, Hearing Examiner, Richmond, Virginia, on July 25, 2017.

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the foregoing transcript constitutes a true and correct transcript of the said proceedings.

of______ 2017, at Norfolk, Virginia.

Carol M. Tayloe